EPA Official Record

Notes ID: C938D8A84F5C43C3852578690055D120

From: "Fox, Steve \(New Bedford\)" < Steve.Fox@jacobs.com>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; <K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil>; <maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil>; <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>; <paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil>; ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Copy To: "Gouveia, Mark" <Mark.Gouveia@jacobs.com>; "Rigassio-Smith, Anita" <Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>; "Anderson, Michael \((Boston\))" <Michael.Anderson@jacobs.com>

Delivered Date: 08/29/2008 12:27 PM EDT

Subject: RE: agenda/discussion for Tuesday's meeting

Hi Dave,

Thanks for the comprehensive list. The only items that I would add to the discussion are the following:

- 1) The modeling of the 2008 ambient air results. We should be able to reduce this effort;
- 2) The bulkhead monitoring and the assessment of the bullard on the eastern dock at Area D;
- 3) the Execution Plan addendum for the 2009 dredging; and
- 4) the sediment sampling and analysis associated with supporting the NAE modeling effort.

Thanks,

Steve

----Original Message----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 11:17 AM

To: K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil; maurice.beaudoin@usace.army.mil; Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil; paul.g.l'heureux@usace.army.mil; Fox, Steve (New Bedford); stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: agenda/discussion for Tuesday's meeting

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{Hi}}}$ - here are some discussion points for Tuesday's meeting (10 am at Sawyer St):

- 1. with 2 weeks of cove dredging under our belt, can we improve our prediction of what 80 days of dredging would remove (40 this year, 40 next)? Is SES going to insist on more than 40 days this year or next? Overall goal is to remove as much volume as possible in the cove given other cost needs over the next two years. i.e. even if we get to z* OK to over-dredge (v. moving outside of the cove) to ensure 10 ppm goal and minimize volume of remaining silts (provided we at least get to z* everywhere). Know what I mean?!
- 2. Off-season work: do we have ballpark cost estimates for the following priorities:
- a. 2008 data submittal and graphics binder
- b. cost estimate for Sawyer St ESD (offsite disposal v. capping the cells and DDA); deadline is say April 2009.

(NOTE: break out removal of cell1 separately for potential hybrid alternative)

(NOTE: include a cost for removal and T&D of TCLP Avox material?)

c. groundwater modeling for the cells and DDA

(NOTE: conservatively assume existing cell liners have leaks every x feet (x=100??))

- d. user-friendly spreadsheet compilation of all goundwater monitoring data for Sawyer Street to date, and all air monitoring data post-hot spot removal for Sawyer Street.
- e. start of focused FS for disposal options to support ROD amendment (the 3 alternatives are: offsite with 3 CDFs, 100% offsite, and Alt. 4 CAD approach). Much of the cost estimating has already been done but we need to get these in an "apples to apples" basis including total costs v. unit costs. Deadline is spring 2010 so not all has to be completed this off season. May need to add staff to continue during 2009 dredge season?? Assume JE does most of report writing, with EPA drafting background and summary sections.
- f. any other priority tasks??
- 3. Compare these estimates with existing ${\tt JE}$ funding and assess overall budget picture.
- 4. Does this budget picture allow for continued progress towards WWTP renovation and removal of stored equipment at Sawyer St this winter?.
- 5. Other issues?

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.